

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,252	10/25/2001	Frederick M. Morgan	C01104/70092	3826
23628 7	590 06/04/2003			
WOLF GREE	ENFIELD & SACKS,	EXAMINER		
	SERVE PLAZA	PHILOGENE, HAISSA		
600 ATLANTI				
BOSTON, MA	02210-2211		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2821	11
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	(/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    10/040,252	<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
## Examiner ## Haissa Philogene 2921  ## The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  **Editable to the many be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a rapply be timely filled the provisions of 37 CPR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a rapply be timely filled the provisions of 37 CPR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a rapply be timely filled the provisions of 37 CPR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a rapply be timely filled the provisions of 37 CPR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a rapply be timely filled the provisions of 37 CPR 1.738(a). In no event, however, may a rapply be timely filled of this communication. Provision of the provisions of the provisions of the provision of the provision. Provision of the provision of th	Office Action Summary							
Halssa Philogene								
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of the many be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.13(6), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled the provisions of 3 CPR 1.13(6), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as upon the control of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as upon the period of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than the reply the period for reply specified above is less than the reply the period for reply specified above is less than the reply the period for reply specified above is less than the reply the period for reply specified above is less than the reply days and the period of this communication is the reply day of the period of this communication is communication.  A proper period by the Office laster han there months after the mailing date of this communication is communication.  A proper period by the Communication (S) filed on 12 May 2003.  2a This action is FINAL.  2b May 2003.  2a This action is FINAL.  2b May 2003.  2a This action is FINAL.  2b May 2003.  2b Claim (S) 12 Is/are pending in the application.  4p Claim (S) 12 Is/are pending in the application.  4p Claim (S) 12 Is/are pending in the application.  4p Claim (S) 12 Is/are pending the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4p Claim (S) 12 Is/are pending the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4p Claim (S) 12 Is/are pending in the application.  4p Claim (S) 12 Is/are pending the practice under Expanded to 12 Claim (S) 12 Is/are pending the practice of the practice of the practice under Expanded to 12 Is/are pending the practice of the			Haissa Philogene					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Entertained or time may be available under the provisions of 3C PR 1.138(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Entertained or time may be available under the provisions of 3C PR 1.138(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply whithin the statulory printed with the mailting date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. by abatilutory parked will apply and will expire (30) (80) MCINTS from the mailting date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patient turn adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 May 2003  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s) 1-11.13-16.25-27 and 31 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1-11.13-16.25-27 and 31 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1-11.13-16.25-27 and 31 is/are rejected to .  8) Claim(s) 1-11.15-16.25-27 and 31 is/are rejected to .  8) Claim(s) 1-11.15-16.25-27 and 31 is/are explosed or bim objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The procreated drawings are required in reply to this office action.  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 28 August 2002 is: a) Dispersed by Dispersed by the Examiner.  11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Editariolisto of time may be available under the provision of 3 of ER1 136(a). In no event, however, may a teply be timely filed after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is epicified above, the maximum statisticity period within the statisticity priority districts (7) (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the start or defined pointed for reply will, by statistic, cause the application to become ARANCONED (36 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Official with hinten mornical water the mailing date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any statistics and place term objective term objective them the seminary after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any statistics.  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 12 May 2003  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is ron-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-11, 13-16.25-27 and 31 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1-11, 13-16.25-27 and 31 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1-11, 13-16.25-27 and 31 is/are rejected.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or bi objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 28 August 2002 is: a) Deprived provided by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The eath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is ma	• •							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 May 2003.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/e, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.31 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1.11.13-16.25-27 and 31 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1.21.7-24 and 28-30 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 28 August 2002 is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority un	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)  is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-11.13-16.25-27 and 31 is/are rejected.  7)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on 28 August 2002 is: a) approved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)				(				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-11,13-16,25-27 and 31 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 12,17-24 and 28-30 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-2,17-24 and 28-30 is/are objected to.  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The proposed drawing correction filed on 28 August 2002 is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-982)  3. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	<u> </u>							
Disposition of Claims  4)								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) ☒ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☒ The proposed drawing correction filed on 28								
5   Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6   Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6   Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a  accepted or b  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)   The proposed drawing correction filed on 84 uqust _2002 is: a)   approved by   disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)	4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application	•					
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11,13-16,25-27 and 31 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 12,17-24 and 28-30 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on 28 August 2002 is: a) ☐ approved by ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
7) Claim(s) 12,17-24 and 28-30 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 28 August 2002 is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) 🗌	_						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 28 August 2002 is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11, 13-16, 25-27 and 31</u> is/are rejected	ed.					
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☑ The proposed drawing correction filed on 28 August 2002 is: a) ☑ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7) 🖾	Claim(s) 12,17-24 and 28-30 is/are objected to		•				
9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 28 August 2002 is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☑ The proposed drawing correction filed on 28 August 2002 is: a) ☑ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on 28 August 2002 is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)  3) Notice of References Cited (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on 28 August 2002 is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>28 August 2002</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)⊡ disapproved by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_ ` _ ` _ ` _ ` ` ` _ ` _ ` _ `							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)							
	2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of I					

Art Unit: 2821

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bailey et al., Patent No. 5,752,766, cited by Applicant.

Bailey discloses in Fig.2 an apparatus comprising a lighting fixture 12 including a light source which comprises an array of LEDs 22 capable of generating variable color radiation (see Col.1, lines 27-30), the lighting fixture adapted to be mounted on a surface 20 and having an inherent dimension when looked in the horizontal direction essentially normal to the surface 20 when the light fixture 12 is mounted on the surface 20. Bailey does not specifically disclose the dimension being less than a range of 0.5 to 2.5 inches. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the dimension less than a range of 0.5 to 2.5 inches to allow a proper fit of the apparatus in any particular environment, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/040,252

Art Unit: 2821

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9-11, 13, 25-27 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Robbins, Patent No. 4,704,660.

As per claims 9-11, 13 and 31, Robbins discloses in Fig.1 an apparatus and method comprising: a lighting fixture (242-270) to generate variable color radiation provided by the radiation output of a light source 242 via light-transmitting members (260-270) to illuminate a liquid (water) contained in one of a pool and a spa 238, the lighting fixture adapted to be mounted on a portion of an inner surface 240 of the one of the pool and the spa 238, the inner surface 240 being at least partially in contact with the liquid (water); said lighting fixture comprising at least one mounting mechanism (see Fig.12) to mount the lighting fixture to the inner surface 240; said at least one mounting mechanism including at least one suction mechanism 300 to mount the lighting fixture to the inner surface.

As per claims 25-27, Robbins discloses the one of the pool and the spa 238 readable as having a range of typical liquid levels of the liquid (water) during use, and the light fixture via light-transmissive members (260-270) being adapted to be disposed below the range of typical liquid levels (as shown) or submersible in the liquid (water), said light-transmissive members readable as watertight lenses.

Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robbins in view of Hed, Patent No. 5,301,090, cited by Applicant.

Robbins discloses the claimed invention substantially as explained above except for the lighting fixture including at leat one LED which includes at least least two differently colored LEDs or at least one red LED, at least one green LED, and at least one blue LED. Hed discloses in Fig.2 an apparatus comprising a light fixture 20 including at leat one LED which includes at least least two differently colored LEDs or at least one red LED, at least one green LED, and at least one blue LED (items 24, 25 and 26). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the lighting fixture as taught by Hed into the Robbins type apparatus, because it would ensure a variable chromaticity luminaire for environmental modifications and/or decorative lighting purposes, thereby improving the efficacy of the apparatus.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12, 17-24 and 28-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to discloses the inner surface being formed from at least one magnetic material, and the at least one mounting mechanism including at least one magnetic mechanism to mount the lighting fixture to the inner surface (claim 12); the lighting fixture having a first dimension less than 2.5 inches which is essentially

Art Unit: 2821

normal to the portion of the inner surface of the one of the pool and the spa when the lighting fixture is mounted on the portion of the inner surface (claim 17); the lighting fixture being adapted to be mounted on the portion of the inner surface such that the lighting fixture does not protrude through the portion of the inner surface (claim 28).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Micha, Patent No. 4,450,511, "Submersible high intensity lamp".

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Haissa Philogene whose telephone number is (703) 305-3485. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 A.M.-6:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (703) 308-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7722 for regular communications and after Final communications. The fax number for the examiner is (703) 746-4054.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,252

Art Unit: 2821

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

hp June 1, 2003 Haissa Philogene Primary Examiner